Scholars Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch. J. Arts Humanit. Soc. Sci. ©Scholars Academic and Scientific Publishers (SAS Publishers) (An International Publisher for Academic and Scientific Resources) ISSN 2347-5374(Online) ISSN 2347-9493(Print)

DOI: 10.36347/sjahss.2018.v06i11.008

Is Occupational Self-Efficacy A Determinant of Organisational Commitment? A Case Study of Ajaokuta Steel Company Workers

Eze, Adaobi Chika^{1*}, Ikebuaku, Pamela²

¹Department of Psychology, Enugu State University of Science and Technology (ESUT), Enugu, Nigeria ²Godfrey Okoye University Ugwuomu-Nike Enugu Department Psychology, Enugu, Nigeria



under pressure to undergo dramatic changes. Organizations have flattened their structures to replace their traditional hierarchical management structures with empowered work teams.

In order to achieve this, the management is challenged to create working conditions in which individuals in these teams voluntarily choose to commit, collaborate and act towards accomplishment of organizational goals [1].

Organizational commitment (OC) has become popular among researchers of organizational and industrial psychology because it influences organizational and employees performance [2]. Organizational commitment is an extremely important topic for organizations to understand because an employee's belief and behavior towards an organization has an impact on the success of the organization. It is said that an employee becomes committed when he/she is sincere in duties, assigned tasks and creative towards work. Riketta [3] asserts that the major portion of an individual's life revolves around organizations and investigations of commitment in the workplace are vital for understanding the psychology of human behavior.

Cohen [2] posits that commitment is a force that binds an individual to a course of action of relevance to one or more targets. This general description of commitment relates to the definition of organizational commitment by Arnold [4] as the relative strength of an individual's identification with and involvement in an organization. Miller [5] also states that organizational commitment is a state in which an employee identifies with a particular organization and its goals, and wishes to maintain membership in the organization. Organizational commitment is therefore, the degree to which an employee is willing to maintain membership due to interest and association with the organization's goals and values.

As regards organizational commitment of Nigerian workers, there is divergence of opinion among researchers [6]. They believe that organizational commitment reflects one side of the reciprocal relationship, between the employer and the employee and as such, each party has to play its role as organizations need committed workers in order to face the worldwide economic competition. This is due to the fact that employees who are committed to their organizational would have a strong desire to remain a member of the organization. The employees also would be willing to put in a substantial effort in their job on behalf of the organizational commitment is a function of several variables such as job satisfaction, motivation, participative decision making, financial inducement, career opportunities, organizational support, financial reward, communication, promotion prospects, leadership styles and occupational self-efficacy [6, 8-12].

Occupational self-efficacy has been identified as one of the primary constructs of organizational commitment [10]. It can be defined as the perceptions of an individual about his/her abilities to effectively perform his/her tasks in the workplace [13]. According to Bandura [14] self-efficacy implies people's judgment of their capabilities to organize and execute course of action required to attain a designated type of performance. Bandura [15] defined self-efficacy as belief in one's ability to perform a task or more specifically to execute a specified behavior successfully. According to him, the concern of selfefficacy is with the judgments of what an individual can do in some situations or domains with the skills that he/she possesses. Occupational self-efficacy builds on this definition but is narrower in focus. In contrast to task-specific self-efficacy, however, it is broader in scope, so that a wider range of people working in different professions can be compared [16]. Thus, occupational self-efficacy can be used to assess selfefficacy over different jobs, organizations, levels etc. [16]. Findings have demonstrated the essence of selfefficacy on the job and career [7, 17] and have been used as a general label encompassing judgment of personal efficacy in relation to the wide range of behavior involved in career choice and adjustment. The construct of occupational self-efficacy has many implications for organizations. Empirical research reveals that occupational self-efficacy is positively related with many organizationally relevant variables, such as organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and preparedness for organizational change [18, 16]. Furthermore, self-efficacy as a domain specific construct has been understood as the belief of an individual about his/her competence in a particular domain or context. Based on this viewpoint, occupational self-efficacy as a belief in ability enforces competence to perform in an occupation [19]. Thus, Schyns and Collani [16] established that occupational self-efficacy is positively and significantly related with organizational commitment.

RELATED LITERATURE

Meyer and Allen [20] developed commitment model in which the commitment has been broken down into three approaches: affective. continuance and normative commitment. Their research provides preliminary evidence that affective, continuance and normative components of attitudinal commitment are conceptually and empirically separate. Further study by Meyer and Allen [21] broadened the perspective on organizational commitment through the componential model. They suggested that organizational commitment should be conceptualized as a psychological state that is concerned about how individual feel about their organizational engagement and the desire to continue to remain with the organization.

One of the dimensions of organizational commitment is affective commitment which is an employee's desire to be emotionally attached to the identification and involvement in the organization [22, 20]. According to Noor and Noor [23] employees who have strong affective commitment will more likely remain in the organization because they want to. However, when there is no desire to be emotionally attached and be involved in the pursuant of organization goals, the employees may desire to leave the company.

Another dimension of commitment is continuance commitment and is the employees' awareness or recognition of the benefits of continuing to remain in the organization versus the perceived cost of leaving the organization [22, 21]. According to Chan [24], employees' with high continuance commitment are more likely to remain in the organization.

Finally, the dimension of normative commitment is a consequence of an employee's feeling of obligation to stay in the organization based on one's personal norms and values [22, 21]. Even when the employees face pressure from others to leave the organization, the employee will still feel strongly to continue working for the organization. The decision made by the employee whether to stay or leave the organization is based on their personal belief of the right and moral thing to do. The individual employees with higher normative component of organizational commitment usually will be more likely to remain and be committed to the organization [22].

Bandura's social-cognitive theory [25]. Bandura [25] postulates that these expectations determine whether or not a certain behaviour or performance will be attempted, the amount of effort the individual will contribute to the behaviour, and how long the behaviour will be sustained when obstacles are encountered. Self-efficacy beliefs determine how people feel, think, motivate themselves and behave. Such beliefs produce these diverse effects through four major processes, namely cognitive, motivational, and affective and selection processes.

Personal well-being and human accomplishment are enhanced by a strong sense of efficacy in many ways. People with high assurance in their capabilities approach difficult tasks as challenges to be mastered rather than as threats to be avoided [25]. It can be regarded as an optimistic and self-confident view of one's capability to deal with certain life stressors. Such an efficacious outlook fosters intrinsic interest and deep engrossment in activities. People, with high self-efficacy set those challenging goals and maintain strong commitment to them while they also heighten and sustain their efforts in the face of failure. After failures, they quickly recover their sense of efficacy. They attribute failure to insufficient effort or deficient knowledge and skills which are acquirable, therefore approaching threatening situations with assurance that they can exercise control over them.

In contrast, when individuals doubt their capabilities, they shy away from difficult tasks which they view as personal threats and easily fall victim to stress and may develop intentions to quit. Persons with low self-efficacy also tend to have low self-esteem, and they harbor pessimistic thoughts about their accomplishments and personal development according to Schwarzer [26] which may hinder organizational commitment.

So the level of an employee's abilities in an organization be it innate or learned tends to affect his or her level of commitment in the workplace.

Expectancy Value Theory [27]

Vroom [27] was the first to develop an expectancy theory with direct application to work settings, which was later expanded and refined by Porter and Lawler [28] and others [29]. The expectancy theory has three kev elements: expectancy, instrumentality, and valence .This theory assumes that the likelihood of attaining a valued outcome leads to specific behavior. If a person believes that he/she is capable of gaining a positive result, it will be more likely that she/he will repeat that behavior. This means in terms of self-efficacy that the kind of reward or benefit an employee perceives in getting at the end of a task determines the employee's perception about his/her worth and ability in completing the task which invariably enhances commitment towards the organization. Empirical study [30] found that there was no significant relationship between organizational commitment and self-efficacy among Nigerian Police personnel. Ayebami and Adeoye [31] explored employees' influence of emotional intelligence and career self-efficacy on organizational commitment. Results indicated that participants possessed moderate levels of emotional intelligence and career self-efficacy with low level of organizational commitment. Based on the findings, it was suggested that managers could sharpen employees' emotional intelligence and career self-efficacy to buffer organizational commitment of employees. Oyewumi, Ibitoye and Sanni [32] examined job satisfaction and self-efficacy as correlates of job commitment of special education teachers in Oyo State. Findings revealed that there was a positive significant relationship between job satisfaction and commitment as there was a positive significant relationship between self-efficacy and job satisfaction of special education

teachers. Following these related theoretical and empirical reviews, the researchers hypothesized that occupational self-efficacy will not significantly predict organizational commitment.

METHOD Participants

A total of one hundred and eighty-nine (189) industrial workers comprising of one hundred and forty (140) males and forty nine (49) females were drawn from Ajaokuta Steel Company in Ajaokuta, Kogi State. Participants were selected using simple random sampling technique and their mean age was found to be at 41.9.

Instruments

Two instruments were used to collect data for the study, they include;

- Occupational Self-Efficacy Scale (OSES) [19].
- Occupational Commitment Scale (OCS) [22].

Occupational Self-Efficacy Scale [19]

This scale was developed by Pethe, Chaudhari, and Dhar [19] and it is a 19-item scale comprising of six factors which include- (i) confidence (ii) command (iii) adaptability (iv) personal effectiveness (v) positive attitude and (vi) individuality. The instrument was designed to measure the efficacy beliefs of the participants towards their occupation and is a five point Likert-scale with the response range varying from 5 for 'strongly agree' to 1 for 'strongly disagree'. The reliability coefficient of the scale was found to be .98. The researcher in a pilot study obtained a reliability coefficient of .92 among Nigerian samples.

Organizational Commitment Scale [19]

The organizational commitment scale used in this study is a 24-item instrument, designed by Meyer and Allen [22]. Each subscale of the OCS contains eight (8) items. The Affective commitment scale measures an individual's identification/emotional attachment to the organization. The continuance subscale measures the efforts/awareness of the costs of leaving the organization and the normative subscale measures the obligation/loyalty to the organization. Responses to each of the 24 items are rated using a 7-point Likert rating scale. Allen and Meyer [20] reported a high reliability score in almost all the items in the instrument. They reported a reliability coefficient of 0.82 for affective commitment scale; 0.74 for continuance commitment and 0.83 for normative commitment. The reliability alpha obtained with Nigerian samples is 0.79 for affective commitment and 0.81 for continuance commitment [33].

Procedure

The researchers wrote to the management of Ajaokuta Steel Company seeking permission to carry out this study. In the letter, the researchers explained the need for the study and the benefit therein if allowed to

Eze Adaobi Chika & Ikebuaku Pamela., Sch. J. Arts. Humanit. Soc. Sci., Nov, 2018; 6(11): 2100-2105

do so, thus permission was granted within two weeks. Furthermore the two instruments were exposed to pilot using 83 participants drawn from Innoson Industries Enugu. These workers were administered the organizational commitment scale [22] and occupational self-efficacy scale [19], which yielded a Cronbach alpha of 0.79 and 0.82 respectively which was accepted as a good Index since Mitchel & Jolley [34] noted that an index of 0.70 (and preferably 0.80) is needed to say a measure is internally consistent.

Design/Statistics

A cross sectional design was adopted for the study. Regression analysis was used to analyze the data and Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 was employed in the data analysis.

RESULTS

Multiple Regressions was conducted in which organisational commitment was the dependent variable.

Table 1: Means, standard deviations, and correlations for demographic variables, occupational self-efficacy on
organisational commitment

of gamsational communent										
S/N	Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	М	SD
1	Organizational Commitment	-	.17**	.19**	.00	12*	11*	.26***	111.32	15.13
2	Gender		-	14*	01	24***	.03	03	1.26	.44
3	Age			I	.25***	23***	05	.07	41.88	10.06
4	Marital Status				-	.04	06	.08	1.91	.53
5	Edu Qualification					-	02	.14*	4.43	1.19
6	Religion						-	13*	1.40	.49
7	Occ. Self-Efficacy							-	79.34	11.63

Note. * = p < .05(two-tailed), ** = p < .01 (two-tailed), *** = p < .001 (two-tailed). Gender was coded 1 = male, 2 = female; Age was coded in years, Religion was coded 1 = Christian, 2 = Islam, 3 = ATR; Marital Status was coded 1 = single, 2 = married, 3 = divorced, 4 = widow, 5 = widower; Edu. Qual was coded 1 = FSLC, 2 = WAEC, 3 = NCE/OND, 4 = HND, 5 = B.sc, 6 = PhD, 7 = others.

Result of correlation table show that organisational commitment was significantly related to gender (r = .17, p < .01), age (r = .19, p < .01), educational qualification (r = .12, p < .05), religion (r = .11, p < .05), occupational self-efficacy (r = .26, p < .001). Thus, the more self-efficacy a worker develops in his/her job the more likely he/she will dispose

organisational commitment. Gender was significantly related to age (r = -.14, p< .05), educational qualification (r = -.24, p< .001). Age was significantly related to marital status (r = .25, p< .001), and educational qualification (r = -.23, p< .001). Educational qualification was significantly related to occupational self-efficacy (r = .14, p< .05).

Tabl	e-2: Showing the	prediction of	f 'organi	sational c	ommitmen	nt' from	occupatio	onal self-effica	cy

	R	R2	$R2\Delta$	В	Beta (β)	Т
Model 1	.30**	.09**	.09**			
Gender				6.76	.20	2.65**
Age				.33	.22	2.85**
Marital Status				-1.60	06	76
Edu. Qualification				320	03	33
Religion				-3.47	11	-1.59
Model 2						
Occupational Self-efficacy	.39***	.15***	.05***	.32	.25	3.34***

Note. ** = p < .01 (two-tailed), *** = p < .001 (two-tailed).

Regression result in table 2 above indicated that amongst the control variables only gender ($\beta = .20$, t = 2.65, p< 01) and age ($\beta = .22$, t = 2.85, p< 01) were significant antecedents of organisational commitment among steel workers. The control variables jointly accounted for 9% variance as antecedents of organisational commitment among steel workers of Ajaokuta (R² = .9, p< .01). Occupational self-efficacy entered in model three of the equation was a significant antecedent of organisational commitment among steel workers of Ajaokuta (β = .25, t = 3.34, p< .001). It accounted for 5% variance as an antecedent of job commitment among steel workers (R2 Δ = .05, p< .001). This implies that the more occupational self-efficacy a steel worker builds the more organisational commitment he/she is likely to have.

Eze Adaobi Chika & Ikebuaku Pamela., Sch. J. Arts. Humanit. Soc. Sci., Nov, 2018; 6(11): 2100-2105

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- In the correlation result organisational commitment was significantly related to control variables (gender, age, educational qualification, religion) and to main variables, occupational self-efficacy.
- Amongst the control variables only gender and age were significant antecedents of organisational commitment.
- Occupational self-efficacy was a significant antecedent of organisational commitment.

DISCUSSION

In congruence with previous studies [32], the findings of this study indicate that occupational selfefficacy was a significant antecedent of organisational commitment hence disconfirming the hypothesis. According to the findings, organizational commitment increases remarkably due to an individual's belief in his/her ability and competence to perform in an occupation. This belief will go a long way in increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of employees as general productivity is heightened.

Implications of the study

Occupational self-efficacy was a significant antecedent of organisational commitment. The implication of this finding is that an employee who has high self-efficacy is likely to have a high level of commitment towards his/her organization. This finding implies that an employee's perception of his/her worth and competence exhibited in completing a task in turn enforces organizational commitment.

CONCLUSION

This study investigated the relationship between occupational self-efficacy, and organizational commitment among Ajaokuta Steel Company workers in Ajaokuta, Kogi State. One hundred and eighty-nine (189) workers participated in the study. Previous researches showed that occupational self-efficacy, were significantly related to organizational commitment [30, 32, 10, 33, 18, 35]. In the present study, the findings supported that occupational self-efficacy was a significant determinant of organizational commitment. This study, therefore recommends that organizations should give attention to this personality trait during recruitment and placement. And introduce policies and trainings that can enhance competence/ occupational self-efficacy as it is significant in organizational commitment.

REFERENCES

- Duvall CK. Developing individual freedom to act: Empowerment in the knowledge organization. Participation and Empowerment: An International Journal. 1999 Aug 1;7(8):204-12.
- 2. Cohen A. Multiple commitments in the workplace: An integrative approach. Psychology Press. 2003 Oct 17.

- Riketta M. Attitudinal organizational commitment and job performance: a meta-analysis. Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior. 2002 May;23(3):257-66.
- 4. Arnold J, Silvester J, Cooper CL, Robertson IT, Patterson FM. Work psychology: Understanding human behaviour in the workplace. Pearson Education. 2005.
- 5. Miller D, LEE J. The People Make the Process: Commitment to Employees. Decision Making. 2001.
- 6. Alarape AI, Akinlabi FM. Influence of perceived organizational support and discretionary treatment on work attitude of industrial workers. African Journal for the Psychological Study of Social Issues. 2000;5(1):23-36.
- Eden D, Zuk Y. Seasickness as a self-fulfilling prophecy: Raising self-efficacy to boost performance at sea. Journal of Applied Psychology. 1995 Oct;80(5):628.
- 8. Brown BB. Employees' Organizational Commitment and Their Perceptions of Supervisors' Relations-Oriented and Task-Oriented Leadership Behaviors (Doctoral dissertation, Virginia Tech).
- Salami SO, Omole OA. Participation in decisionmaking process, incentives and training as predictors of organizational commitment among industrial workers. African journal for the psychological study of social issues. 2005;8(2):210-27.
- 10. Chi H, Yeh H, Choum SM. The organizational commitment, personality traits and teaching efficacy of junior high school teachers: The meditating effect of job involvement. The Journal of Human Resource and Adult Learning. 2013 Dec 1;9(2):131.
- 11. Guzzo RA, Noonan KA, Elron E. Expatriate managers and the psychological contract. Journal of Applied psychology. 1994 Aug; 79(4):617.
- 12. Wayne SJ, Shore LM, Liden RC. Perceived organizational support and leader-member exchange: A social exchange perspective. Academy of Management journal. 1997 Feb 1;40(1):82-111.
- 13. Rigotti T, Schyns B, Mohr G. A short version of the occupational self-efficacy scale: Structural and construct validity across five countries. Journal of Career Assessment. 2008 May;16(2):238-55.
- 14. Locke EA. Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. Personnel Psychology. 1997 Oct 1;50(3):801.
- 15. Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychological review. 1977 Mar;84(2):191.
- 16. Schyns B, Von Collani G. A new occupational selfefficacy scale and its relation to personality constructs and organizational variables. European journal of work and organizational psychology. 2002 Jun 1;11(2):219-41.
- 17. Allen MW. Communication and organizational commitment: Perceived organizational support as a

Eze Adaobi Chika & Ikebuaku Pamela., Sch. J. Arts. Humanit. Soc. Sci., Nov, 2018; 6(11): 2100-2105

mediating factor. Communication Quarterly. 1992 Sep 1;40(4):357-67.

- 18. Schyns B. The influence of occupational selfefficacy on the relationship of leadership behavior and preparedness for occupational change. Journal of career Development. 2004 Mar;30(4):247-61.
- 19. Pethe S, Chaudhari S & Dhar U. *Occupational self-efficacy scale*. Agra: National Psychological Corporation. 1999.
- 20. Meyer JP, Allen NJ. Commitment in the Workplace: Theory, Research, and Application (Advanced Topics in Organizational Behavior). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1997.
- Meyer JP, Allen NJ. A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. Human resource management review. 1991 Mar 1;1(1):61-89.
- 22. Allen NJ, Meyer JP. The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. Journal of occupational psychology. 1990 Mar;63(1):1-8.
- 23. Singh V, Chertkow H, Lerch JP, Evans AC, Dorr AE, Kabani NJ. Spatial patterns of cortical thinning in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Brain. 2006 Sep 28;129(11):2885-93.
- 24. Chan H, Perrig A, Song D. Random key predistribution schemes for sensor networks. InSecurity and Privacy, 2003. Proceedings. 2003 Symposium on 2003 May 11 (pp. 197-213). IEEE.
- 25. Bandura A, Self-efficacy In VS. Ramachaudran (Ed), Encyclopedia of Human Behavior, 4, 71-81.
- 26. Bandura A. Exercise of personal agency through the self-efficacy mechanism. Self-efficacy: Thought control of action. 1992 May;1:3-7.

- 27. Vroom VH. Work and motivation. New York: Wiley. 1964.
- 28. Porter LW, Lawler EE. Managerial attitudes and performance.1968.
- 29. Pinder CC, Schroeder KG. Time to proficiency following job transfers. Academy of Management Journal. 1987 Jun 1;30(2):336-53.
- Nwankwo OD, Oginyi RC. Organizational commitment and its impacts on self-efficacy of nigerian police personnel: implications for combating terrorism in nigeria. International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Review. 2015 Apr 7;5(1).
- 31. Torubeli VA, Adeoye HA. Employees'influence of emotional intelligence and career self-efficacy on organizational commitment. Journal of Research in National Development. 2012;10(3):319-25.
- Adebomi O, Olufunke IH, Bamidele SO. Job satisfaction and self-efficacy as correlates of job commitment of special education teachers in oyo state. Journal of Education and Practice. 2012;3(9):95-103.
- 33. Ugwu FO. Building workaholic behaviour in the organization: the role of organizational trust and psychological empowerment. *Nigerian Journal of Psychological Research*. 2011; 7, 10-24.
- Mitchel ML & Jolley JM. Measuring and manipulating variables: Reliability and validity. Research design Explained 5th edition. 2004; (pp,104 & 536)
- Jamal M. Shift work related to job attitudes, social participation and withdrawal behavior: a study of nurses and industrial workers 1. Personnel Psychology. 1981 Sep;34(3):535-47.