

## How Websites and Mobile Applications Wrongly Calculate eGFR by MDRD Equation

Muhamad Ali Alherk<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohammad Ammar M. K. Yahia<sup>2</sup>, Kaumudi Bery<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup>Muaither Health Center, Diagnostic Laboratory, Primary Health Care Corporation (PHCC), Doha, Qatar, ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2188-4952<sup>2</sup>Al Wakra (South) Health Center, Diagnostic Laboratory, Primary Health Care Corporation (PHCC), Doha, Qatar, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-9588-4851<sup>3</sup>Al Ruwais Health Center, Diagnostic Laboratory, Primary Health Care Corporation (PHCC), Doha, Qatar, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8061-6718DOI: [10.36347/sjams.2022.v10i07.008](https://doi.org/10.36347/sjams.2022.v10i07.008)

| Received: 13.06.2022 | Accepted: 18.07.2022 | Published: 21.07.2022

\*Corresponding author: Muhamad Ali Alherk

Muaither Health Center, Diagnostic Laboratory, Primary Health Care Corporation (PHCC), Doha, Qatar

## Abstract

## Review Article

Equations to estimate glomerular filtration rate (GFR) are routinely used for the diagnosis and classification of chronic kidney diseases (CKDs). Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) equation is an old one and has been replaced in many countries by CKD Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation, but MDRD is still available in routine practice worldwide, especially in developing countries. Many users of MDRD, don't know that it has two different versions (equations). This idea makes confusion in practice and affected the developers of this equation in internet websites and mobile applications. In this review, we will focus on this dilemma and try to solve it by explaining the basics of these two versions, and when I can choose each version, then the reflection of this issue on about 105 websites/Applications from different countries around the world. After that, we will give recommendations for both developers and seekers of this equation about overcoming this debate.

**Keywords:** MDRD – GFR – chronic kidney diseases – estimated Glomerular Filtration rate – calculator.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

## INTRODUCTION

eGFR equations are considered as one of the cornerstones in the assessment of renal functions, by using simple laboratory tests (usually serum creatinine), plus a few demographic information like sex, and age [1].

MDRD is an old eGFR equation, but it is still available internationally [1-3]. Mainly there are two versions of this equation: before and after the creatinine standardization program, and both versions give different results for the same patient.

On the other hand, MDRD needs special software like a website or a mobile application for calculation. Many of these resources have failed to clarify the difference between the two versions of MDRD, and how this difference can affect the patient renal functions assessment and management. We will address this issue and how can be prevented. In this retrospective, we will explain the differences between these two equations and when we can use each one.

## Creatinine versus eGFR in renal function assessment

Serum creatinine is the main laboratory test used in the assessment of renal functions, but usually, it is affected by several factors such as age, sex, creatinine production in muscles, dietary intake of creatinine, extra-renal clearance of creatinine in the gastrointestinal tract, and tubular secretion of creatinine [4]. All these factors were the basis of developing GFR equations, to improve the predictive value of serum creatinine. Many trials started in the 1970s [5], and the only one available till now is the Cockcroft-Gault equation, which is still in use in special situations, but many other equations didn't survive for many reasons. Starting in the 21<sup>st</sup> century, international guidelines started recommending various newer eGFR equations like MDRD or the more recent CKD-EPI for the assessment of renal functions.

## MDRD Story

The National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) began a multicenter study named the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD). It was designed to assess the

acceptance, safety, and efficacy of restricted protein and phosphorus diets in patients with chronic renal disease [6, 7]. One of the results of this study is the development of the MDRD equation.

The original MDRD equation had been announced in 1999 and developed based on 6 variables: serum creatinine, age, sex, ethnicity, and serum levels of urea and albumin (Table 1 – equation No. 1) [8].

Subsequently, in 2000 a simplified equation including 4 variables had been proposed to simplify its clinical use, and it was consisting of age, sex, ethnicity, and serum creatinine (Table 1 – equation No. 2) [9]. After the great work on the creatinine standardization program (see below), it was necessary to re-express the MDRD equation to fulfill the new development. Then the updated MDRD equation has been announced in 2006 (Table 1 – equation No. 3) [10].

**Table 1: The different versions of the MDRD equation**

No.	Equation Name	Year	The equation details
1	Original MDRD (6 Variables) [5]	1999	<b>Equation No.1:</b> $GFR = 170 \times (Scr^a)^{-0.999} \times (Age)^{-0.176} \times [0.762 \text{ if patient is female}] \times [1.180 \text{ if patient is black}] \times [SUN^b]^{-0.170} \times [Alb]^{+0.318}$
2	Simplified MDRD (4 Variables) [6]	2000	<b>Equation No.2:</b> $eGFR (ml/min/1.73m^2) = 186 \times [Scr]^{-1.154} \times [Age]^{-0.203} \times [0.742 \text{ if female}] \times [1.21 \text{ if black}]$
3	Updated MDRD (4 Variables, and Standardized creatinine) [7]	2006	<b>Equation No.3:</b> $eGFR (ml/min/1.73m^2) = 175 \times [Scr]^{-1.154} \times [Age]^{-0.203} \times [0.742 \text{ if female}] \times [1.21 \text{ if black}]$

<sup>a</sup>Scr = Serum Creatinine – <sup>b</sup>SUN = Serum Urea Nitrogen.

**International Versions of MDRD**

The original MDRD equation (equation No. 1 in Table 1) was not used in the international recommendations and hence we will not be discussing the same here. In many countries, many research papers have been published on the accuracy of the MDRD equation. Particularly in Asia, there has been a lot of research like those published in India [11, 12], China [13], Japan [14], and Pakistan [15]. Few of these researchers have added special racial factors to equations 2 and 3 in table 1. Also, they were ignored in our work, because they were not adopted and applied to websites/mobile applications globally.

The focus internationally was on equations 2 and 3 in table 1, and the only difference between both equations is the factor, which is 186 for equation 2, and 175 for equation 3. In the rest of this review, we will use the shortcut simplified MDRD for equation 2, and updated MDRD for equation 3.

**The importance of the creatinine standardization program (from a laboratory perspective)**

In 2006 the National Kidney Disease Education Program (NKDEP) created a Laboratory Working Group in collaboration with the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry (IFCC) and the European Communities Confederation of Clinical Chemistry (now called the European Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine). One of the main activities of this workgroup is creating a creatinine standardization program. NKDEP has been stopped in 2019, and NIDDK has carried forward the promotion activities developed under NKDEP’s program to meet the ongoing need [16].

The NIDDK Laboratory Working Group was created to reduce inter-laboratory variation in creatinine

assay calibration and therefore enable more accurate estimates of glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), and to prepare recommendations to standardize and improve creatinine measurement. Its work was published in 2006 [17].

To achieve its goals, this group has recommended the use of reference materials (calibrators) that are traceable to a reference method called Isotope Dilution Mass Spectrometry (IDMS) by all manufacturers of creatinine reagents. In this way, we can expect theoretically that the same specimen will give the same result in any laboratory around the world.

The best clinical implications of this program are improving the estimation of GFR equations and appropriate adjustment of medications in patients with low GFR values [18]. One of the main recommendations of this group to the clinical laboratories is to use a validated IDMS-traceable equation like updated MDRD and CKD-EPI, as the simplified MDRD, will give higher estimated GFR values compared to the recommended equations [19]. Now and after 16 years of starting this program, the majority of commercial companies that manufacture creatinine reagents have standardized their methods.

**The importance of the MDRD equation in the international recommendations**

MDRD like other eGFR equations has two benefits in general; help in the staging of Chronic Kidney Diseases (CKD), assessment of renal functions of the potential kidney donors [1], and adjustment of drug dosing in renal impairment cases [20-22]. Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI) was the first organization that recommended using the simplified MDRD equation in clinical practice in 2002 [5], and after introducing the creatinine standardization

program in 2006 [17], many guidelines (other than mentioned above) have recommended and/or accepted the updated MDRD equation [1-3, 23-29].

On the other side, many guidelines have shifted to recommend the CKD-EPI equation [30-33], as it is more accurate in the higher eGFR values (more than 60 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) [34], and had been tested with patients older than 70 years [35], compared to MDRD age criteria of (18 – 70 years old) [7]. However, the lack of application creatinine standardization globally is complicating following this recommendation in routine practice, as there are many countries not established yet this process, so for these countries, the MDRD is still available widely (as the CKD-EPI equation is not valid for old creatinine methods), also MDRD in the last 16 years has been used in many international studies, which revealed in many recommendations, that why it is early to ignore it worldwide.

**The expression of MDRD in Internet websites/mobile applications**

In practice, the MDRD equation is available in many laboratories and is still used widely around the

world, especially in developing countries. The traditional calculators are unable to calculate the MDRD formula, so special software is needed (like a website or mobile application).

We have reviewed about 105 resources including international websites, and mobile applications (mainly android versions). In case the developer is the same in both website and mobile application (for example Medscape website and Mobile application), we have considered them two different resources. The search of resources was done by Google search engine for websites, and Google Play for Android applications and we could reach equations from different countries/languages around the world. To focus on the difference between the two versions of the MDRD equation, we agreed to choose a borderline example (case) with specific values as per Table 2. This case will be categorized as CKD stage 2 (G2) by using simplified MDRD, and CKD stage 3 (G3) by using the updated equation, which is diagnosed as chronic kidney disease.

**Table 2: The example used to check MDRD equations**

Parameters	Old MDRD Value (Factor 186)	Updated MDRD Value (Factor 175)
Sex = Female, Age = 50 Years, Serum Creatinine = 1 mg/dl (88.4 µmol/L), and Race = Non-African	62.38 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> (Accepted Values are: 62 & 63 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	58.69 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> (Accepted Values are: 58 & 59 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )
CKD staging	CKD Stage 2 (G2)	CKD Stage 3a (G3a)

Note: KDIGO guidelines define CKD as the persistence of a marker of kidney damage (like high albuminuria, urine sediment abnormalities ...etc.) plus eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> for more than 3 months [1].

According to the above example, we have divided the 105 sites/applications into the following categories:

- 20 resources (19%) expressed both results (58.69 and 62.38 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>), mentioning the IDMS traceability (Table 3).
- 38 resources (36.2%) expressed IDMS results only (58.69 or 59 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) using the
- 47 resources (44.8%) expressed values ≥ 62 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> using simplified MDRD equation (Table 5).

**Table 3: Websites/Mobile applications that give both simplified and updated MDRD values**

No.	Website (Linked) /Mobile App. Name	Owner/Developer	Country
1	MSD Manuals	MSD/EBMcalc	USA
2	Merck Manuals	Merck/EBMcalc	USA
3	Nephron.org	Nephron Information Center/Touchcalc	USA
4	www.mdrd.com	Mdrd.com/Touchcalc	USA
5	TouchCalc.com	Touchcalc Inc.	USA
6	www.sfndt.org	Francophone Soc. Of Nephro, Dial Transpl. (SFNDT)	France
7	RenalGuard.com	RenalGuard	USA
8	www.senefro.org	SOCIEDAD ESPAÑOLA DE NEFROLOGÍA (Senefro)	Spain
9	www.sbn.org	Sociedade Brasileira de Nefrologia (SBN)	Brazil
10	www.renal.org.ar	Asociación Regional De diálisis Y Trasplantes Renales	Argentina
11	www.cnhm.ma	Centre de Néphrologie-Hémodialyse de Meknès (CNHM)	Morocco
12	www.CardioLink.it	CardioLink	Italy
13	https://boris.bikbov.ru	Omnibus rebus	Russia
14	www.nefrocalc.net	Nefrocalc Version 2.0	Brazil

No.	Website (Linked) /Mobile App. Name	Owner/Developer	Country
15	arquivos.sbn.org.br	Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul/Nefrocalc 1.0	Brazil
16	www.cbm25.fr	Center for Medical Biology	France
17	hpathy.com	Hpathy	India
18	www.ebmconsult.com	EBMConsult	USA
19	PharmCalc.com	PharmCalc	UK
20	PharmCalc® App.		

Last accessed on 2022 July 10.

**Table 4: Websites/Mobile applications that give updated MDRD values only**

No.	Website (Linked) /Mobile App. Name	Owner/Developer	Country
1	Mdcalc.com	MDCalc	USA
2	MDCalc® App.		
3	NIDDK (Conv. Units)	NIDDK	USA
4	hepatitisc.uw.edu	University of Washington	USA
5	hepatitisb.uw.edu		
6	clincalc.com	ClinCalc LLC	USA
7	Columbiamedicine.org	Columbia University	USA
8	pathlabs.rlbuht.nhs.uk	Royal Liverpool Broadgreen University	UK
9	kidneyregistrylb.com	Lebanon Society of Nephrology and Hypertension	Lebanon
10	mdapp.co	MDApp	UK
11	GFR Calculator App.	MDApp+	
12	Calculator.net	Calculator.net	USA
13	thecalculator.co	The Calculator .CO	NA <sup>a</sup>
14	globalrph.com	Global RPH	USA
15	easycalculation.com	Easycalculation.com	India
16	omnicalculator.com	Omni Calculator sp.	Poland
17	mdsaude.com	MD.Saúde	Spain
18	saomarcoslaboratorio.com.br	São Marcos Laboratorio	Brazil
19	ctinjection.com	CTinjection	France
20	bioltrop.fr	BIOLogie TROPicale	France
21	360 medics App.	360Medics	France
22	eGFR-NKF (old-2015) App.	eGFR-NKF (old-2015) Supported by Daiichi-Aankyo	USA
23	NKF KDOQI App.	NKF	USA
24	Medical Equations App.	Sanapps Dev	NA <sup>a</sup>
25	eGFR Calculators Pro App.	iMedical Apps	Indonesia
26	Pocket GFR Calculator App.	iMedical Apps	Indonesia
27	Renal Dose adjustment &Cr Cl App.	Apicel	Malaysia
28	NefroConsultor App.	Madilon Medical Care	NA <sup>a</sup>
29	CKD-EPI y MDRD UdelaR Hospital Uruguay App.	Alberto Hernandez	Uruguay
30	eGFR App.	Ksoft Apps	NA <sup>a</sup>
31	GFR Calculator App.	Mahmoud AbuZnaid	NA <sup>a</sup>
32	CalcuMed App.	Coder Gang	Peru
33	eGFR Calculator App.	Gumption Multimedia	Netherlands
34	Estimated GFR App.	Gumption Multimedia	Netherlands
35	Basic Medical Formulas (Calculations) App.	PharmaDON	NA <sup>a</sup>
36	Real eGFR App.	Rodrigo Sepulveda Palamara	Chile
37	Estimated GFR App.	Prof.Dr.Erdem Akbary	Turkey
38	GFR Easycalc App.	Dr. Louis Janssens	NA <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>NA = Not available/Not Mentioned. Last accessed on 2022 July 10.

**Table 5: Websites/Mobile applications that give simplified MDRD values only**

No.	Website (Linked) /Mobile App. Name	Owner/Developer	Country
1	Calculate by QxMD	QxMD Medical Software Inc.	USA
2	Calculate by QxMD App.		
3	Medscape.com	Medscape®	USA
4	Medscape® App.		
5	Guidelinecentral.com	GuidelineCentral	USA
6	Ukidney.com	Ukidney (Internet School of Nephrology)	U.K
7	Accessmedicina.mhmedical.com	Access Medicine (McGraw Hill)	USA/Mexico
8	Patient.info	Patient.info	UK
9	www.UPMC.com	University of Pittsburgh Medical Center	USA

No.	Website (Linked) /Mobile App. Name	Owner/Developer	Country
		(UPMC)	
10	Nephron.com	Nephron.com	USA
11	www.scymed.com	MediCalc (SkyMed Inc.)	USA
12	eGFR (Skymed) App.		
13	MediCalc App.		
14	www.siditalia.it	Società Italiana di Diabetologia (SID)	Italy
15	www.freseniuskidneycare.com	Fresenius Kidney Care	USA
16	Unicagestao.com.br		Brazil
17	Mesogeios.gr	Mesogeios (Dialysis Center)	Greece
18	www.evidencio.com	Evidencio Medical Decision Support	Netherlands
19	Evidencio® App.		
20	Centroestudosemilioribas.org.br	CENTRO DE ESTUDOS EMÍLIO RIBAS	Brazil
21	Leblogmedical.fr	Le Blog Medical	France
22	Easycalculation.com (English Version)	Easycalculation.com	India
23	Nephromatic.com	Nephromatic.com	NA <sup>a</sup>
24	clairance-creatinine.fr	clairance-creatinine.fr	France
25	Medicalcul.free.fr	Medicalcul.free.fr	France
26	Medicalcul App.		
27	360medics.com	360Medics	France
28	tinkershop.net	tinkershop.net	NA <sup>a</sup>
29	Endmemo.com	Endmemo	NA <sup>a</sup>
30	Medical Calculator App.	IOBear	Taiwan
31	Dialysis Calculator App.	Rodrigo Sepulveda Palamara	Chile
32	eGFR Calculator App.	Reda Brahimi	NA <sup>a</sup>
33	GFR Calculator App.	medsoftpro.ru	Russia
34	Medical Calculator (WebClinicCal) App.	WebClinic (Urrutikoetxea)	Spain
35	eGFR Calc App.	Med-Mobile.eu	NA <sup>a</sup>
36	eGFR Calculator App.	Blue Rock	NA <sup>a</sup>
37	Mosa: GFR (TFG Calculator) App.	Reinaldo Mojica Acros	NA <sup>a</sup>
38	Калькулятор СКф App.	DLS Unity	Russia
39	eGFR (Scr/Scys) App.	DLS Unity	
40	GFR Calculator (Kidney Health) App.	Intelligent Solutions	Pakistan
41	Neph+ App.	Tricida Inc.	USA
42	Xiga eGFR Calculator App.	Virtual Software House	NA <sup>a</sup>
43	Doc Calculator App.	Skhelp	India
44	DNMS (Diabetic Nephropathy Management Support) App.	Dr CP Ho	Hong Kong
45	Filtracion Glomerular App.	Carrere Diseno & Ingenieria	Argentina
46	Medical Calculator App.	Explore Book	India
47	RenalExact App.	Moneer	NA <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>NA = Not available/Not Mentioned. Last accessed on 2022 July 10.

## DISCUSSION

The eGFR calculation is important for patients, clinicians, and laboratory personnel. The CKD-EPI equation is the most recommended one internationally, but when this equation is inapplicable (like in countries that didn't standardize the creatinine methods among their laboratories), the MDRD will be a suitable choice. The decision about the correct version of the MDRD equation is depending on laboratory information. The laboratory personnel is responsible for supplying information about the creatinine method used and if it is IDMS traceable or not. On the other side, we have the developer person/team who builds this equation on a website or mobile application platform.

Following are the general comments we have found during our search for the MDRD equation:

1. Few builders didn't differentiate between the two equations (simplified and updated MDRD),
2. Several builders didn't mention the importance of IDMS traceability and its effect on MDRD equation,
3. On the other hand, many builders have this knowledge and offered it clearly in a simple way.

The following are a few real examples of the errors that may few builders do unintentionally:

1. QxMD is a well-known organization that has a famous website and a mobile application (QxCalculate with more than 1 million downloads), but they are using the simplified MDRD value (Table 5 – No. 1 and 2). It would be better if they updated the equation, to the IDMS updated MDRD.

2. Medscape® is a reputed medical website and it is using the QxMD's software (Table 5 – No. 3 and 4) in the website and mobile application (with more than 5 million downloads), which means the error has been copied here. Also, there is a Brazilian website that linked its MDRD calculation with Medscape's page (Table 5 – No. 20).
3. Several websites (16% of our sample) have expressed the eGFR value using the wrong unit (ml/min) instead of (ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>), which is not accurate as all versions of MDRD have been adjusted for the standard body surface area (1.73 m<sup>2</sup>). But in special cases; where ml/min unit is needed, like for drug dosing adjustment in very large or small patients, then we need to remove the adjustment [18], however, the value will be different.
4. Few websites give unexplained values which are far from the MDRD's value, for example, calculators in Table 5: Nos. 31, 38, and 39, or when you choose the mg/dL unit for creatinine like calculators in table 3, No. 6, table 4 No. 19, and table 5 No. 21.
5. In rare cases there are websites with multiple languages, they used the updated MDRD equation in all languages except English where they used the simplified MDRD (Table 5: No. 22).
6. Also sometimes the same developer has created two websites or/and applications, and both give different values (example 1: Table 4: No. 36 and Table 5: No. 31, example 2: Table 4: No. 21 and Table 5: No. 27).

There is a new challenge raised recently in 2021, as many international guidelines/organizations have removed the black race factor in the CKD-EPI equation [30, 36, 37]. But we are still waiting for the other bodies to state their recommendations. Regarding the MDRD equation, there is no consensus on removing the race factor for it as it is for CKD-EPI, hence we advocate omitting it for MDRD calculation.

## CONCLUSION

MDRD equation is still adopted and routinely used internationally in many countries, so we need to be aware of all related information that may affect its role in clinical practice.

The creatinine standardization program is unclear to many practitioners from multiple clinical fields, besides, it is not adopted in many countries around the world; so this will affect the method we are using in the calculation. Also, clinical laboratories from all over the world are encouraged to use IDMS traceable creatinine methods, as this will further help in the harmonization of eGFR reporting.

Good communication between clinical and laboratory staff is important to improve patient safety and the MDRD equation is a good example of the real need for this kind of cooperation, which reflects in the more accurate result and better patient management.

Our recommendations to the developers of the MDRD equation (including the Laboratory Informative Systems - LIS developers):

It is preferred to follow the NIDDK recommendations to the Software Vendors by adopting the IDMS-traceable MDRD equation [19], and also take into account the developing countries that didn't start yet creatinine standardization program. So, depending on that, we are suggesting the following tips:

1. The better scenario is to offer both values of simplified and updated MDRD (table 3), with a clear explanation of the different values of both equations (IDMS and non-IDMS methods).
2. It is a good option to go with the updated MDRD value only (Table 4), but also, we need to mention the importance of using the updated version and its relation with the creatinine standardization program.
3. Don't build any equation depending on simplified MDRD alone (Table 5), as the majority of creatinine methods now are standardized.
4. Use only the correct unit to express the values (ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>).
5. If you want to create the equation in multiple languages, use the same equation and criteria.

Our recommendations to any user searching for MDRD:

1. Most updated guidelines recommend using CKD-EPI not MDRD for many reasons (mentioned earlier), so if any updates are involving CKD-EPI specifically (for example removing the black race factor), then it is wise to check the CKD-EPI value instead, or in parallel with MDRD.
2. Use the trusted international resources (like NIDDK...etc.), or choose the equations that mention the IDMS traceability concept.
3. For the clinical physicians, consider connecting with the clinical laboratory to check for the IDMS traceability of the creatinine reagent.

The future survival of the MDRD equation among other developed eGFR equations is related to several factors; first is the application of creatinine standardization program in developing countries, and second is including the MDRD equation in the current and future clinical studies e.g. pharmacokinetic studies on drug dosing in patients with impaired renal functions.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Dr. Shajitha Thekke Veettil from the clinical research department at PHCC, for helping in reviewing the paper.

**Financial support and sponsorship:** Nil

**Conflicts of Interest:** None

## REFERENCES

- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group (2013). KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease. *Kidney Int*, (Suppl, 3), 1–150.
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Glomerular Diseases Work Group (2021). KDIGO 2021 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Glomerular Diseases. *Kidney Int*, 100, (4S), S1–S276.
- National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases [Internet]. Bethesda (MD). Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR) Calculators; [Retrieved 2022 July 10]. From: <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/professionals/clinical-tools-patient-management/kidney-disease/laboratory-evaluation/glomerular-filtration-rate-calculators>.
- Levey, A. S., Perrone, R. D., & Madias, N. E. (1988). Serum creatinine and renal function. *Annual review of medicine*, 39, 465-490.
- National Kidney Foundation. (2002). K/DOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines for Chronic Kidney Disease: Evaluation, Classification and Stratification. *Am J Kidney Dis*, 39, Suppl 1, S1-S266.
- Klahr, S. (1989). The modification of diet in renal disease study. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 320(13), 864-866.
- National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases [Internet]. Bethesda (MD); Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD); [Retrieved 2022 July 10]. From: <https://repository.niddk.nih.gov/studies/mrd/>
- Levey, A. S., Bosch, J. P., Lewis, J. B., Greene, T., Rogers, N., Roth, D., & Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group\*. (1999). A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. *Annals of internal medicine*, 130(6), 461-470.
- Levey, A. S., Greene, T., Kusek, J. W., Beck, G. J., & MDRD Study Group. (2000). A simplified equation to predict glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 11(Suppl 2), 155.
- Levey, A. S., Coresh, J., Greene, T., Stevens, L. A., Zhang, Y., Hendriksen, S., ... & Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration\*. (2006). Using standardized serum creatinine values in the modification of diet in renal disease study equation for estimating glomerular filtration rate. *Annals of internal medicine*, 145(4), 247-254.
- Kumar, V., Yadav, A. K., Yasuda, Y., Horio, M., Kumar, V., Sahni, N., ... & Jha, V. (2018). Existing creatinine-based equations overestimate glomerular filtration rate in Indians. *BMC nephrology*, 19(1), 1-7.
- Kumar, V., Yadav, A. K., Sethi, J., Ghosh, A., Sahay, M., Prasad, N., ... & Jha, V. (2022). The Indian Chronic Kidney Disease (ICKD) study: baseline characteristics. *Clinical kidney journal*, 15(1), 60-69.
- Ma, Y. C., Zuo, L., Chen, J. H., Luo, Q., Yu, X. Q., Li, Y., ... & Wang, H. Y. (2006). Modified glomerular filtration rate estimating equation for Chinese patients with chronic kidney disease. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, 17(10), 2937-2944.
- Matsuo, S., Imai, E., Horio, M., Yasuda, Y., Tomita, K., Nitta, K., ... & Collaborators Developing the Japanese Equation for Estimated GFR. (2009). Revised equations for estimated GFR from serum creatinine in Japan. *American journal of kidney diseases*, 53(6), 982-992.
- Jessani, S., Levey, A. S., Bux, R., Inker, L. A., Islam, M., Chaturvedi, N., ... & Jafar, T. H. (2014). Estimation of GFR in South Asians: a study from the general population in Pakistan. *American journal of kidney diseases*, 63(1), 49-58.
- National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases [Internet]. Bethesda (MD). National Kidney Disease Education Program; [Retrieved 2022 July 10]. Available from: <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/community-health-outreach/information-clearinghouses/nkdep>
- Myers, G. L., Miller, W. G., Coresh, J., Fleming, J., Greenberg, N., Greene, T., ... & National Kidney Disease Education Program Laboratory Working Group. (2006). Recommendations for improving serum creatinine measurement: a report from the Laboratory Working Group of the National Kidney Disease Education Program. *Clinical chemistry*, 52(1), 5-18.
- National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases [Internet]. (2021 March). Bethesda (MD); CKD & Drug Dosing: Information for Providers: Estimation of Kidney Function for Prescription Medication Dosage in Adults; [Retrieved 2022 July 10]. Available from: <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/research-funding/research-programs/kidney-clinical-research-epidemiology/laboratory/ckd-drug-dosing-providers>
- National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases [Internet]. Bethesda (MD); Creatinine Standardization Recommendations; [Retrieved 2022 July 10]. Available from:

- <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/research-funding/research-programs/kidney-clinical-research-epidemiology/laboratory/creatinine-standardization-program/recommendations>
20. U.S. Food and Drug Administration [Internet]. (2020 September). Silver Spring (MD). Pharmacokinetics in Patients with Impaired Renal Function — Study Design, Data Analysis, and Impact on Dosing; [Retrieved 2022 July 10]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/pharmacokinetics-patients-impaired-renal-function-study-design-data-analysis-and-impact-dosing-and>
  21. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2010 March). Pharmacokinetics in Patients with Impaired Renal Function – Study Design, Data Analysis, and Impact on Dosing and Labeling. Silver Spring (MD).
  22. Joint Formulary Committee. (2021). British National Formulary 81: March – September 2021. London: BMJ Publishing and the Royal Pharmaceutical Society.
  23. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2015 January). Chronic kidney disease in adults: assessment and management (NICE Guidelines CG182).
  24. National Kidney Foundation. (2015). KDOQI clinical practice guideline for hemodialysis adequacy: 2015 update. *Am J Kidney Dis*, 66(5), 884-930.
  25. Akbari, A., Clase, C. M., Acott, P., Battistella, M., Bello, A., Feltmate, P., ... & Welcher, E. S. (2015). Canadian Society of Nephrology commentary on the KDIGO clinical practice guideline for CKD evaluation and management. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, 65(2), 177-205.
  26. Nesrallah, G. E., Mustafa, R. A., Clark, W. F., Bass, A., Barnieh, L., Hemmelgarn, B. R., ... & Moist, L. M. (2014). Canadian Society of Nephrology 2014 clinical practice guideline for timing the initiation of chronic dialysis. *Cmaj*, 186(2), 112-117.
  27. Toussaint, N. (2012 July). Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment (CARI). Screening for early chronic kidney disease. Sydney (AU).
  28. Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS) [High Authority of Health]. (2011 December). Assessment of glomerular filtration rate and serum creatinine levels in the diagnosis of chronic kidney disease in adults. Saint-Denis (France).
  29. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. (2008). Diagnosis and management of chronic kidney disease (SIGN Guidelines SIGN103). Edinburgh.
  30. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2021 November). Chronic kidney disease: assessment and management (NICE Guidelines NG203) [Internet]. [Retrieved 2022 July 10]. From <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng203>
  31. Kidney Health Australia [Internet]. (c2020). Melbourne; Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) Management in Primary Care (4th edition); [Retrieved 2022 July 10]. From: <https://kidney.org.au/health-professionals/ckd-management-handbook>
  32. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Hepatitis C Work Group (2018). KDIGO 2018 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Prevention, Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of Hepatitis C in Chronic Kidney Disease. *Kidney Int Suppl*, 8, 91-165.
  33. Ontario Association of Medical Laboratories. (2015 April). Toronto; Guideline for the Transition from the MDRD to the CKD-EPI Equation for the Calculation of an Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR), and its Interpretation in Concert with the Urine Albumin/Creatinine Ratio (ACR).
  34. National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases [Internet]. Bethesda (MD); Estimate Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR); [Retrieved 2022 July 10]. From: <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/professionals/clinical-tools-patient-management/kidney-disease/identify-manage-patients/evaluate-ckd/estimate-glomerular-filtration-rate>
  35. Levey, A. S., Stevens, L. A., Schmid, C. H., Zhang, Y., Castro III, A. F., Feldman, H. I., ... & CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration)\*. (2009). A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Annals of internal medicine*, 150(9), 604-612.
  36. National Kidney Foundation [Internet] (2021 September 23). New York: NKF and ASN Release New Way to Diagnose Kidney Diseases; [Retrieved 2022 July 10]. From: <https://www.kidney.org/news/nkf-and-asn-release-new-way-to-diagnose-kidney-diseases>
  37. Inker, L. A., Eneanya, N. D., Coresh, J., Tighiouart, H., Wang, D., Sang, Y., ... & Levey, A. S. (2021). New creatinine-and cystatin C–based equations to estimate GFR without race. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 385(19), 1737-1749.