

**Original Research Article****To Study Improvement in Outcome of Unidentified/Unaccompanied Patient of Traumatic Brain Injury at GMC, Bhopal****Dr. I. D. Chaurasia<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Mahim Koshariya<sup>2\*</sup>, Dr. M.C. Songara<sup>3</sup>**<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, General Surgery, Gandhi Medical College, Sultania Rd, near Hamidia Hospital, Royal Market, Medical College Campus, Kohefiza, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh 462001, India<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Sultania Rd, near Hamidia Hospital, Royal Market, Medical College Campus, Kohefiza, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh 462001<sup>3</sup>Professor & Head, Sultania Rd, near Hamidia Hospital, Royal Market, Medical College Campus, Kohefiza, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh 462001**\*Corresponding author**

Dr. Mahim Koshariya

**Abstract:** All unidentified & unaccompanied TBI patients admitted in department of neurosurgery, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal from June 2008 to May 2011 were enrolled in this study. We analyzed demography, mode of injury, clinical presentation & condition at admission, treatment given, hospital stay, outcome & factors affecting outcome of the patients. There were total 100 consecutive patients enrolled in this study, 87% of the patients were male. Most common age group was 40-59 years, 49% patients falls in this age group. Most common cause of trauma was road traffic accident (48%), followed by unknown mode of injury (36%). Most common cause of brain injury was cerebral contusion (23%), followed by Subarachnoid Haemorrhage injury (19%). Overall mortality was 41.8%, these traumatic & brain injury of unidentified and unaccompanied was found in patients in the study. Study shows that sensitized hospital, staff, society and media is need for better management and improvement of unidentified and unaccompanied head injury patients. Communal responsibility of sharing of these patients is must for early relocation/ rehabilitation for decongestion of overloaded hospital for other patients need.

**Keywords:** TBI, Unidentified Patient, Unaccompanied, Decompressive Craniectomy.

**Study Designed:** Observational Study.

**INTRODUCTION**

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a leading cause of death and disability in trauma patients. As the primary injury cannot be undone, management strategies must therefore focus on preventing secondary injury by avoiding hypotension and hypoxia and maintaining appropriate cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP), which is a surrogate for cerebral blood flow (CBF) [1]. Elevated intracranial pressure can be treated through an algorithmic approach utilization simple bedside maneuvers, hyperosmolar therapy, cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) drainage as well as pentobarbital coma and decompressive craniectomy in refractory cases [2]. Mass lesions may require operative evacuation depending on size, exam findings, and ICP measurements. Although CPP may not be an ideal surrogate for cerebral blood flow and metabolic delivery, other modalities have not gained widespread use due to paucity of strong data. Other factors that deserve important consideration in the acute management of TBI patients are venous thromboembolism, stress ulcer, and seizure prophylaxis as well as nutritional and metabolic optimization [3].

Consistent with all phases of TBI management, prehospital strategies should focus on preventing secondary brain injury. In one study, patients with moderate to severe TBI transferred to

level I trauma centers via helicopter who had secondary insults (either SBP <90 mmHg or SpO<sub>2</sub> <92%) were found to have a 28% mortality, compared to 20% of patients without such insults [4]. Prehospital hypoxia in these same patients was associated with a significant increase in mortality, and there was no difference in hypoxic episodes between patients intubated vs. those not intubated in the field. Similarly, prehospital rapid sequence intubation performed by paramedics in head injured patients with GCS <9 was associated with an increase in mortality. This result may be associated with the transient hypoxia during the prehospital procedures, excessive over-ventilation causing hypocarbia, vasoconstriction, and impaired CBF, and longer scene times [5]. This body of work implies a need for rapid transfer to definitive care and a focus on more basic airway strategies to maintain oxygenation in head injured patients [6].

**MATERIAL & METHOD**

All unidentified & unaccompanied TBI patients admitted in department of neurosurgery, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal from June 2008 to May 2011 were enrolled in this study. We analyzed demography, mode of injury, clinical presentation & condition at admission, treatment given, hospital stay, outcome & factors affecting outcome of the patients.

All patients were clinically evaluated by a team comprising of doctors from surgical, medical and orthopaedics specialties in the emergency department and subsequently admitted and treated at Neurosurgery. Complete primary evaluation was done. NCCT brain and whenever necessary, X-rays, CT scan of spine, USG abdomen, MRI spine or contrast CT (abdomen/chest) were carried out to rule out other injuries.

We have devised strategies to utilize the available infrastructure in hospital and neurosurgery ward like reserving few beds adjacent to nursing staff station in ward for keen watch on vitals and nutritional feeds monitoring and other nursing cares, daily morning

and evening round by senior faculty members, regular update of any information given by patients to search their home to speed up the identification process through intense web based search of information available in Voter list, Aadhaar card and biometrics.

Allocation of designated fund for consumables was done as per need. Steps were taken to facilitate the relocation of such patients to their home or shelter homes with the help of departmental staff, social workers and media personal even though it may not be the prime job of the hospital. A special sanction was taken to pay for the travel arrangements of these patients.

**RESULTS**

**Table-1: Demographic of Traumatic Brain Injury in Unidentified and Unaccompanied patients**

S. No	Demographic	No. of Patient	Percentage
<b>1</b>	<b>Gender</b>		
	Male	87	87
	Female	13	13
<b>2</b>	<b>Mean Age (Years)</b>		
	Age Group		
	<20	03	03
	20-39	41	41
	40-59	49	49
	60 & above	07	07

There were total 100 consecutive patients enrolled in this study, 87% of the patients were male.

Most common age group was 40-59 years, 49% patients falls in this age group.

**Table-2: Clinical Characteristic and type of lesion in Traumatic Brain Injury of Unidentified and Unaccompanied patients**

S. No	Characteristics	No. of Patient	Percentage
	<b>Cause of trauma</b>		
<b>1</b>	Road Traffic Accident		<b>48</b>
<b>2</b>	Train Accident		<b>09</b>
<b>3</b>	Fall from height		<b>07</b>
<b>4</b>	Cause Unknown		<b>36</b>
	<b>Type of Brain Injury</b>		
<b>5</b>	Extradural Hematoma	<b>09</b>	<b>09</b>
<b>6</b>	Subdural Hematoma	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>7</b>	Cerebral contusion	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>8</b>	Diffuse Axonal Injury	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>9</b>	Subarachnoid Haemorrhage	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>10</b>	Intraventricular Haemorrhage	<b>07</b>	<b>07</b>
<b>11</b>	Depressed Fracture	<b>06</b>	<b>06</b>
<b>12</b>	Scalp Avulsion Injury	<b>04</b>	<b>04</b>
<b>13</b>	Pneumocephalus	<b>02</b>	<b>02</b>

Most common cause of trauma was road traffic accident (48%), followed by unknown mode of injury (36%). Most common cause of brain injury was cerebral contusion (23%), followed by Subarachnoid

Haemorrhage injury (19%). Overall mortality was 41.8%, these traumatic & brain injury of unidentified and unaccompanied was found in patients in the study.

**Table-3: Treatment given at hospital**

S. No	Management	No. of Patient	Percentage
1	Conservative Management	43	43
2	Surgical Intervention	57	57
3	Decompressive Crainectomy	21	
4	Crainotomy	16	
5	Depressed Fracture Elevation	06	
6	Burr Hole Evacuation	03	

Out of 100 patients, (43%) patients were managed conservatively based on CT head findings and neurological status & (57%) were Surgical Intervention.

Decompressive Craniectomy was most common operative procedure depending on the clinical & neurological status.

**Table-4: Complication during treatment of the patient**

S. No	Complication	No. of Patient	Percentage
1	Pneumonia	17	09
2	Meningitis	13	03
3	Septicaemia	09	04
4	Wound infection	07	06
5	CSF leak	04	03
6	Hydrocephalus	02	01
	<b>Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>

Overall Complication found to be in our study is 52% rate.

## DISCUSSION

Incidence as well as severity of head injuries is rising in developing countries due to rapid industrialization with a lag in legal, healthcare and safety reforms. Delhi with a population of around 15 million has the dubious distinction of having the largest number of road traffic accidents of any city in India [7]. The enormity of burden can be assessed by the fact that our study had 1090 severe head injured patients over 15 months and was conducted at only one hospital! One of the reasons for the step-motherly attitude by policy makers towards head injury prevention, management and rehabilitation is the lack of hard statistics of the actual burden of disease which head injuries carry. In spite of improvement in care for head injured patients, there has not been a marked decline in the mortality rates for severe head injuries. Kagan *et al.*, [3] found that mortality rates were between 26.7% and 41.4% for head injured patients in Level 1 trauma centers. Fakhry *et al.*, in their study found 28.8% mortality rate of severely head injured patients [8]. It has also been shown that developing (low and middle income) countries have a pooled mortality rate of 51% for severe head injuries as compared to 30% for high income countries. The present study has an overall mortality of 22% with the mortality for severely head injured patients being is 36%.

This is very favorably comparable to other studies. However, this mortality does not reflect the outcome in other centers in India where optimum treatment cannot be provided due to lack of resources.

In our center we follow a policy of aggressive monitoring and management of severe head injuries as

published by Stein *et al.*, [9]. This has helped us in having outcomes better than the national average and to continually improve upon them over the years. In our study only 26.2% of the severe head injuries were managed conservatively with an extremely high mortality of 72%. The remaining 809 patients (74.2%) of severe head injury underwent aggressive management with surgery with a mortality of only 23.7%. This brings out the fact that aggressive monitoring and management has dramatically improved outcome in our hands.

Although minor head injuries constitute the largest group of head injuries, they do not reflect in this study as majority were kept under observation in the emergency department and not admitted. This also reflects on the immense pressure on in-hospital beds, thus keeping minor head injury admissions to the minimum. However, in spite of selective admission criteria for minor head injuries, our mortality rate is better than published [10].

## CONCLUSION

Study shows that sensitized hospital, staff, society and media is need for better management and improvement of unidentified and unaccompanied head injury patients. Communal responsibility of sharing of these patients is must for early relocation/ rehabilitation for decongestion of overloaded hospital for other patients need.

## REFERENCES

1. Fleminger S, Ponsford J. Long term outcome after traumatic brain injury. *BMJ*, 2005;331:1419-20.

2. Dandona R, Kumar GA, Ameer MA, Ahmed GM, Dandona L. Incidence and burden of road traffic injuries in urban India. *Inj Prev*, 2008;14:354-9.
3. Kagan RJ, Baker RJ. The impact of the volume of neurotrauma experience on mortality after head injury. *Am Surg*, 1994;60:394-400.
4. Mina AA, Knipfer JF, Park DY, Bair HA, Howells GA, Bendick PJ. Intracranial complications of preinjury anticoagulation in trauma patients with head injury. *J Trauma*. 2002; 53(4):668-672.
5. Davis DP, Hoyt DB, Ochs M, Fortlage D, Holbrook T, Marshall LK, Rosen P. The effect of paramedic rapid sequence intubation on outcome in patients with severe traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*. 2003 Mar 1;54(3):444-53.
6. Carney N, Totten AM, O'Reilly C, Ullman JS, Hawryluk GW, Bell MJ, Bratton SL, Chesnut R, Harris OA, Kisson N, Rubiano AM. Guidelines for the Management of Severe Traumatic Brain Injury, Fourth Edition. *Neurosurgery*. 2016.
7. Fakhry SM, Trask AL, Waller MA, Watts DD, IRTC Neurotrauma Task Force. Management of brain-injured patients by an evidence-based medicine protocol improves outcomes and decreases hospital charges. *J Trauma*, 2004;56:492-500.
8. Guillaumondegui MBPOD. Traumatic brain injury, severe: medical and surgical management. 1. Verlag Berline Heidelberg; Springer: 2015.
9. Stein SC, Georgoff P, Meghan S, Mirza KL, El Falaky OM. Relationship of aggressive monitoring and treatment to improved outcomes in severe traumatic brain injury. *J Neurosurg*, 2010;112:1105-12.
10. Grandhi R, Harrison G, Voronovich Z, Bauer J, Chen SH, Nicholas D, Alarcon LH, Okonkwo DO. Preinjury warfarin, but not antiplatelet medications, increases mortality in elderly traumatic brain injury patients. *Journal of trauma and acute care surgery*. 2015 Mar 1;78(3):614-21.